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Ensuring Jewish Continuity
A Position Paper

The Challenge
The Jewish People constitute an important element of Israel’s National Strength from both a qualitative and quantitative perspective. Their contribution includes, among others: political influence, economic assistance, and demonstrations of solidarity. The Jewish People also constitute a most significant population reserve for Israel (as witnessed by the wave of immigration from 1990-2004) and sources of intellectual and cultural vibrancy.

However Jewish continuity cannot be taken for granted. The Jewish People are confronted with a formidable existential challenge. Negative population growth and loss to assimilation in the Diaspora, constitute a two-pronged menace. World Jewry continues to be close to “zero population growth.” While the growth rate of the Jewish population in Israel is positive, it is negative throughout the Diaspora. Moreover, the inverted triangle age structure in the Diaspora, is particularly worrisome since it will result in a pronounced negative population growth after 2020

The world Jewish population was estimated at the beginning of 2004 at a little over 13 million. An extended Jewish population of some 5.44 million lives today in Israel, and an additional ca. 7.82 million Jews reside in the Diaspora. According to Prof. Sergio DellaPergola, at the conclusion of the Second World War, in 1945, the World Jewish population numbered approximately 11 million. Since 1970 there has not been any significant annual increase in World Jewish population.

Major causes for the decline in Jewish Population in the Diaspora include out-marriage, estrangement of the younger generation from the Jewish way of life and from Jewish education, assimilation, and the trend of having smaller families.

The Jewish Agency has set for itself three long-term strategic goals: Bringing a substantial number of Jews to Israel and ensuring their successful adaptation; connecting the next generation to Israel and “Jewish Peoplehood” as a core part of their Jewish identity, and involving World Jewry with Israelis in shaping the future character of Israeli society.

Among the means for realizing these goals are: increasing aliyah (“immigration to Israel”) by choice, deepening Jewish Zionist education while focusing on Israel educational and experience programs designed for Jewish youngsters and young adults who reside in the Diaspora, encouraging the establishment of larger families and increasing the number of conversions among immigrants, performed within Israel.
**Aliyah by Choice**

Though Israel is the only country in which Jewish population growth is positive, it is imperative for Israel (and for the Jewish People) to augment the level of *aliyah* (“immigration to Israel”). Approximately 3 million immigrants have come to Israel since 1948 (ca. 300,000 from Western countries), some one million during the recent wave of immigration, of 1990-2004. They have come from 102 countries and speak 82 languages. The vast majority of immigrants have come to Israel not by choice but out of constraint, or in the context of rescue operations.

However, with the amelioration in living standards, improved security and social conditions of Jews throughout the world (even in areas which had been flashpoints for Jews such as the former USSR), it is unlikely that economic or political considerations will be to be major factors behind mass immigration to Israel in the near future.\(^x\) It is the Agency’s assessment that immigrants who will come over the next generation will do so out of free choice rather than due to political or economic conditions.

Israel must hence attract Jews from the West, countries of affluence and other countries, who will come out of their own volition. Even in areas where there are objective economic, political difficulties and even concerns for personal security confronting members of the Jewish community, Israel must compete with different Diasporas (as witnessed, for example, by numerous South African Jews who preferred to immigrate to Australia and Canada, or Jews from the Former Soviet Union who opted for Germany or the USA). The Jewish Agency has prioritized the USA, Canada, South Africa, and Latin America as areas in which to concentrate effort in promoting *aliyah*.

*This requires a new mindset.* Since we are speaking of a situation akin to a “free market,” Israel should consider adopting a marketing approach to *aliyah* promotion.\(^x\)

Indicative of the feasibility of attracting Jews from countries of affluence, is the fact that the year 2003 saw increases in immigration from the USA and from France beyond the numbers of the previous two years.\(^{xi}\) In France there is a tendency for Jews, who have not made *Aliyah*, to purchase apartments in Israel out of concern for the future.

Even though *Aliyah* is a national priority as well as a requisite for Jewish viability, responsibility for *aliyah* should not be left to official institutions. It is essential that a positive attitude be developed among the public. Such an attitude is essential for facilitating the social absorption of new immigrants.

The active involvement of Israeli society on the grass roots level in the social and professional absorption of new immigrants is an essential ingredient for success of this enterprise. The Jewish Agency’s “At Home-Together” program, which the Agency initiated together with the Ministry of Absorption and the Council of Local Authorities and in whose context, immigrant families have been adopted by veteran Israeli families, on the grass roots level is an example of such involvement.
The successful model of the Nefesh B’Nefesh program in North America, which involved a local non-profit organization, and enjoyed the support and assistance of the Jewish Agency, should be studied and perhaps adapted to the needs of olim from other countries. The Jewish Agency believes that such initiatives will have a positive effect on aliyah.\[xii\]

**Jewish-Zionist Education**

Broadening Jewish-Zionist education is crucial for strengthening Jewish identity and connecting the next generation of Jews with their People and heritage. With variations throughout the world relatively small percentages of the Jewish youngsters receive a day school education.\[xiii\] While most Jewish youth especially in major areas of Jewish concentration, (e.g. the USA, France, Argentina) are exposed to some form of Jewish education, the rate of estrangement among those who do not have a day school education is worrisome, and the rate is extreme among the progeny of mixed marriages.\[xiv\]

According to Prof. DellaPergola 2.3 million members of the younger generation in the Diaspora (of a 4.5 million total, including Israel\[xv\]) under the age of 24 are at risk due to declining birthrates (1.5 per family), rising out-marriage (53-56% since 1990) and decreased communal involvement.

Research has shown strong positive correlations between intensity of Jewish education and Jewish affiliation, and a very sharp negative correlation between Jewish education and the rate of marriage with non-Jewish partners.\[xvi\] In particular researchers have demonstrated that graduates of long-term Israel educational experience programs have dramatically higher rates of marriages with Jewish partners, and stronger communal affiliation, than those who did not attend such programs.\[xvii\]

Israel’s cultural resources can, and hence should, be mobilized to contribute to remedying this situation. In response to a Jewish Agency initiative, the Government of Israel, on the highest level, has made the historical decision of recognizing the importance of furthering Jewish education in the Diaspora as a national imperative. The Prime Minister directed that the Government enter into a strategic partnership with the Jewish Agency to actively support Jewish education abroad.\[xviii\] This led to the inception of the Masa (‘Voyage’) project for long-term programs in Israel. The goal of the project is to bring 20,000 participants per year on long-term programs to Israel by 2010. In addition, the Agency is striving to bring an additional 50,000 participants (e.g. 50% of a given annual age bracket!) to Israel on short-term programs. An initial pilot program has already begun in 2004.\[xix\]

After having been almost completely cut off from any affiliation with Jewish tradition and education, the Jewish communities of the Former Soviet Union in recent years have begun to rebuild communal institutions. A formal Jewish education system is evolving. The Jewish Agency has been a pioneer in the renaissance of Jewish education in the FSU. In addition to its vast operations which have included ulpanim and courses in Judaism attended by tens of thousands, summer and winter camps, leadership training and Israel experience programs, the Agency has trained local teachers and dispatched emissary teaching fellows to serve in Jewish schools. As of
the 2003-2004 school year, the Jewish Agency has been responsible for the formal Jewish Education programs in the FSU.

The Jewish Agency will focus on projects that build stronger Jewish identity and global “peoplehood” among young Israelis, as well as on others that connect emerging young Jewish leaders from Israel and around the world to one another. On the North American continent, the Jewish Agency, in partnership with the Jewish community has created NACI--the North American Coalition for Israel Education. NACIE is employing a systematic strategy to revamp Israel-focused education in North American communities. This model may be valid elsewhere.

Inasmuch as education is not only formal, it is essential to strengthen non-formal Jewish-Zionist education as well. Jewish and Israeli content in synagogue groups, youth movements and camps leave indelible impressions on youngsters. These experiences are even more important against the backdrop of the Palestinian terror, which has reduced the participation of high school-aged youth in Israel experience programs. To compensate, in part, for this negative occurrence, the Jewish Agency this year dispatched some 2,000 educators to Diaspora communities, where they serve as camp counselors teaching emissaries and fellows as well as community resource people. The Jewish Agency also runs an educational website entitled Building a Jewish World (www.jewish-world.org.il), to strengthen Jewish consciousness and ties with Israel.

Encouraging the Expansion of the Jewish Family
The reasons for negative population growth in the Diaspora are multiple: out-marriage, postponement of marriage for career concerns, the growing trend to stay single, reluctance to have larger families due to social pressure, convenience, or desire to maintain a higher living style, and, in many cases, due to inability to financially support a larger family. With the exception of the Orthodox, Jewish couples in the Diaspora are having fewer children.

Jewish communities in the Diaspora should examine ways to facilitate social encounters between Jewish men and women, to encourage Jewish couples to marry earlier and to find means to assist those Jewish couples who wish to have larger families to realize their desires. Thought should be devoted to exploring ways to reduce the age of marriage among Jewish adults since postponement of marriage is a major factor responsible for demographic decline.

The importance of organizing social encounters for Jewish teens and college-aged youth cannot be overstressed. In addition to the educational impact and the creation of ties to Israel, programs such as the birthright, Hillel, WUJS, long-term Israel experience programs for college-aged men and women, and Information Technology (e.g. “Jewish dating services”) facilitate such encounters and each have produced many examples of Jewish marriages.

In Israel, Government subsidies to large families may have to be further rescaled to favor those couples, who choose to have three-four children (a larger percentage) over those who have five and more. Other means of encouragement and assistance (information and pecuniary assistance) should be explored.
Conversion within Israel

The decision to convert to Judaism is a personal one. It is not only the ultimate expression of embracing the Jewish religion but also of embracing and becoming an integral part of the Jewish People, as is beautifully enunciated in the Book of Ruth 1:16: “Thy people shall be thy people and thy G-d my G-d.” The Jewish People has traditionally shunned proselytism. However, the influx of large numbers of non-Jewish FSU immigrants into Israel has created a problem, which must be resolved by the religious Establishment in Israel.

Some 300,000, of the approximately million immigrants who came to Israel in the recent wave of immigration are not Jewish according to Halacha. Though these immigrants see themselves as Israeli, adopt Jewish lifestyles, have borne the responsibilities and burdens of native-born Israelis (they serve in the IDF and, unfortunately, as soldiers or civilians, have fallen in the line of duty and in terror attacks), they are not considered Jewish. They are affected in matters of personal status (marriage, divorce, burial) and have often encountered difficulties by the religious Establishment in their efforts to convert to Judaism. The perpetuation of such a situation will create a profound social cleavage in Israel, dividing the country into two castes: one marriageable to the totality of Israeli Jews and the other ineligible for marriage in Israel.

The Jewish Agency, as the global Jewish partnership of World Jewry that embraces all streams of Judaism, together with the Government of Israel, has since created an ‘Institute of Judaism,’ headed by Prof. Binyamin Ish-Shalom. This institution gives basic and advanced courses in Judaism to military personnel and civilians, who are new immigrants, and prepares those students, who so desire, to take the (Orthodox) conversion examinations administered by the Israeli Chief Rabbinate. The courses at the Institute, which are taught by instructors from the three streams of Judaism, exceed the number of hours required by the Israeli Chief Rabbinate to qualify, for the conversion examinations.

The Government, aware of the seriousness and urgency of the problem, created a National Conversion Authority in the Prime Minister’s Office, headed by Rabbi Chaim Drukman, to oversee conversions. The Prime Minister has designated the Cabinet Secretary to work in tandem with the Agency in solving this most sensitive and cardinal problem for Israel.

Conclusion

The above are some of the elements and approaches that will contribute to ensuring Jewish continuity.

Some of these ideas are already in the process of implementation by the Jewish Agency, the Government of Israel and Jewish communities abroad.

However the task is a formidable one. Cooperation among all the elements of the Jewish People is essential in order to secure the future of the Jewish People in the next generation, and to ensure a connected, committed Jewish People with Israel at its center.
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